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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In an Agreement dated 22 January 2008, the North Saskatchewan Watershed Alliance (NSWA) contracted Golder Associates Limited (Golder) to assess the water supply and its variability in the North Saskatchewan River Basin (NSRB) under natural hydrologic conditions and present climatic conditions.

The NSRB was divided into seven (7) hydrologic regions to account for the spatial variability in factors influencing water yield. The hydrologic regions were delineated such that the hydrologic responses were essentially similar within each region, but different from region to region. The annual yield for each hydrologic region was estimated as the average of the annual yields of gauged watersheds located completely within the hydrologic region, if available. Thirty-four hydrometric stations within the NSRB were included in the analysis. For the assessment of natural water yield, only those data series or portions thereof that have been collected under natural flow conditions were considered.

A key aspect of the water yield assessment in the NSRB was the estimation of water yield in watersheds with non-contributing areas. The calculation of water yield for each hydrologic region and sub-basins in the NSRB was based on the effective drainage areas of gauged watersheds and on effective drainage areas within each hydrologic region or sub-basin. The noncontributing areas as delineated by the Prairie Farm and Rehabilitation Administration (PFRA) of Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC) for the NSRB were used.

Monthly yields were determined by first estimating the average percentage of the annual yield at each station within a hydrologic region, with priority given to stations wholly contained within the hydrologic region and to stations with winter flow records. The average monthly percentage was then used with the annual yield estimated for a hydrologic region to estimate a typical monthly yield for that region. The coefficients of variation and skewness for the annual yield of a hydrologic region were used to estimate the $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}$ and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles on the basis that the annual yield series followed a log-normal distribution.

The annual and monthly yields for each sub-basin as defined by NSWA were also estimated from the annual yield of each hydrologic region that is included in each sub-basin and the proportion of each hydrologic region within each sub-basin.

The mean annual natural discharge of the NSR at the Alberta/Saskatchewan boundary is about 7,510 million $\mathrm{m}^{3}\left(\mathrm{Mm}^{3}\right)$, which is equivalent to an annual yield of 179 mm during average hydrologic conditions. The cumulative annual yield (volume) at the same location for the $10^{\text {th }}$, $25^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}$ and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile hydrologic conditions are $122,142,205$ and $248 \mathrm{~mm}\left(5,110 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}\right.$, $5,930 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}, 8,600 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}$, and $10,400 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ ), respectively. The headwater hydrologic region, with an area of $4,110 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ compared to the NSRB's gross drainage area of $56,860 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, contributes almost half $\left(3,600 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}\right)$ of the annual cumulative yield of the NSRB at the boundary. The portion of the NSRB downstream of Edmonton contributes less than $300 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ of flow volume to the annual cumulative volume of the NSRB at the boundary. The mean annual natural discharge of the NSR near Edmonton and at the downstream outlet of the Strawberry sub-basin is $7,080 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}$. The cumulative annual discharge at the same location for the $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}$ and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile hydrologic conditions are $4,990 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}, 5,740 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}, 8,030 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}$, and $9,470 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}$, respectively.

The most upstream hydrologic region has the highest annual yield at 870 mm , while the easternmost hydrologic regions near the Alberta/Saskatchewan boundary have the lowest annual yields at 35 mm and 25 mm , respectively. These low yields are a reflection of the low precipitation, relatively higher temperature and higher evapotranspiration, and large noncontributing areas in the eastern half of the NSRB. The peak monthly yield from the hydrologic regions in the eastern half of the NSRB occurs in April as a result of snow melt as temperatures begin to increase in spring. In contrast, the peak monthly yield from the hydrologic regions in the western half of the NSRB, particularly those along the eastern slopes of the Rocky Mountains, occur in July because of the gradual rise in temperature during spring and early summer at these high elevations. The peak monthly cumulative yield at the Alberta/Saskatchewan boundary occurs in July and seems to follow the pattern shown by the hydrologic regions in the western half of the NSRB. This is an expected result as the western hydrologic regions generate most of the yield in the NSRB.

The results of an analysis of flow records on the NSR indicate that the approach and results of the water supply assessment for the NSRB as described above are valid.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

During a meeting with the North Saskatchewan Watershed Alliance (NSWA) on December 13, 2007, Golder Associates Limited (Golder) was requested to provide a scope of work to assess water supply and its variability in the North Saskatchewan River Basin (NSRB) under natural hydrologic conditions and present climatic conditions. An additional request was to scope the modeling work required to predict changes in the water yield under potential future climatic conditions. Subsequent to a letter dated January 17, 2008 from Golder outlining the requested scope of work, NSWA authorized Golder in an Agreement dated 22 January 2008 to undertake the water supply assessment. This report presents the results of the analysis for the water supply component of the study.

### 1.2 Scope of Work

The objective of the water supply component of the study was to provide a basin-wide status of water yield (average conditions) and its variability (quartiles) in the NSRB and its key sub-basins. This component of study would be based on natural flow data series recorded at Environment Canada's hydrometric stations in the NSRB.

### 1.3 Approach for Assessment of Water Supply

The North Saskatchewan River Basin (NSRB) exhibits significant spatial variability in temperature and precipitation, both within any given year and from year to year. In the mountains and higher foothills, precipitation tends to be relatively high and evapotranspiration low, resulting in high water yield. In contrast, in the eastern portion of the NSRB, average annual precipitation tends to be less than average annual evapotranspiration, which leads to large moisture-deficit areas, either at certain times of the year or regionally during multi-year droughts. Given the geographic variability in precipitation, evaporation, and other climatic variables, it is critical for an assessment of water yield to consider explicitly the spatial variability of the factors contributing to water yield.

There is considerable flow data for rivers and streams in the NSRB, both on the main stem of the North Saskatchewan River (NSR) and its tributaries. Some of the flow data series include portions that reflect the regulation of flows due to power projects or other activities. For the assessment of natural water yield, it is necessary to consider only those data series or portions thereof that have been collected under natural flow conditions.

The approach for the assessment of water yield in the NSRB consisted of the steps outlined in the following sections.

### 1.3.1 Hydrologic Regionalization of the North Saskatchewan River Basin

The hydrologic response of a watershed is a function of watershed characteristics including drainage area and slope, precipitation inputs, air temperature at high altitudes and in high latitude regions, evapotranspiration, and infiltration. The NSRB was divided into seven (7) hydrologic regions to account for the spatial variability in water yield between hydrologic regions. The hydrologic regions have been delineated such that the hydrologic response will be essentially similar within each region, but different from region to region. Figure 1 in Appendix I shows the hydrologic regions (HR) for the NSRB, superimposed on the sub-basins delineated by the North Saskatchewan Watershed Alliance (NSWA). Within each hydrologic region, the average water yield was assumed to be essentially spatially uniform. Flow data series within each of these regions were analyzed to obtain average annual water yield, the standard deviation of the annual yields, and the mean monthly yields.

The delineation of the NSRB into seven HRs is based on the hydrologic regionalization work completed for Alberta Environment (AENV) by Golder in 2006 (Golder 2006). As part of that work, the Province of Alberta was classified into hydrologic regions (HR) on the basis of topography, climate, hydrology, drainage, geology, and soils. The classification of the province into hydrologic regions was undertaken in two steps. First, spatial patterns in a number of physiographic, geologic and climatic parameters were identified for the province. The major parameters included physiography, elevation, slope, geology, climate, temperature, precipitation (rainfall and snowfall) and evaporation. The second step in the regionalization process was to assess the similarity of the hydrologic responses of gauged watersheds using relationships between drainage area and mean annual runoff. Watersheds with similar responses were grouped
within regions. Other hydrologic response measures such as the 2-year and 10-year flood flows, and mean February flows were also used in the regionalization process. The locations and spatial extents of the gauged watersheds were also considered in the delineation of the regions.

The delineation of the hydrologic regions was determined by comparing the spatial patterns in physiography, geology, climate and hydrologic responses. There were a number of iterations to manually adjust the boundaries of the hydrologic regions to account for the various factors influencing the hydrologic regimes of the various regions. The analysis of the hydrologic response patterns and physiographic-geologic-climate spatial patterns resulted in 20 hydrologic regions for the Province of Alberta that represent a reasonable accounting of the various factors influencing the hydrologic response of a watershed.

### 1.3.2 Effective and Gross Drainage Areas

A key aspect of the water yield assessment is the estimation of water yield in watersheds with non-contributing areas. This is especially relevant in the east-central and southern watersheds of Alberta. The prairie landscape in the south and eastern part of Alberta is characterized by areas with internal drainages, i.e., areas that do not drain to the main receiving stream, but instead drain to local sloughs or wetlands. The study of prairie hydrology makes a distinction between effective drainage area, which is the area that actually contributes runoff to the main receiving stream during a flood with a return period of two years, and gross drainage area, which is the area that could be contributing runoff only during extremely wet conditions and are delineated based on topography. The Prairie Farm and Rehabilitation Administration (PFRA) of Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC) has delineated the non-contributing areas in the NSRB. Figure 2 in Appendix I shows the non-contributing areas in the NSRB. The non-contributing areas do not reflect agricultural drainages systems that may have been constructed to improve local runoff pathways and conditions.

The calculation of water yield for each hydrologic region (HR) in the NSRB was based on the effective drainage areas of gauged watersheds and on effective drainage areas within each hydrologic region (HR).

### 1.3.3 Data Analysis

The water yield analysis for the NSRB was based on the natural flow records at 34 hydrometric stations within the NSRB. Figure 1 in Appendix I shows the locations of the stations. Except for one headwater station, all other stations were on tributaries to the North Saskatchewan River (NSR) as the records at stations on the main stem of the NSR were generally for regulated flows.

Table 1 in Appendix II lists the stations used, the gross and effective drainage areas at each gauging site, the proportion of the drainage area of each gauged watershed in each hydrologic region it encompasses, the available period of record and the period of record used for the analysis. In several cases, the period of record used for the analysis is shorter than the available record. This results from an attempt to use records spanning approximately the same years for all stations within a hydrologic region so that any bias from using records spanning different wet and/or dry hydrologic cycles is small.

Table 1 in Appendix II indicates whether winter flow records are available at each gauging station. At stations where winter flows were not available for stations within a hydrologic region, the winter monthly yields were filled using a percentage of the mean annual runoff. The percentage used for the winter months was based on an approximate percentage derived for stations with recorded winter flows within the same hydrologic region.

For each station, the mean annual runoff (annual yield) and mean monthly runoff (monthly yield) were estimated from the period of record selected for analysis. Mean annual runoff is calculated as the mean of the annual mean flows (in $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) recorded at a station multiplied by 1000 , multiplied by the number of seconds in a year and then divided by the effective drainage area (in $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ). The mean annual runoff is then expressed in millimetres (mm). A similar approach is used for estimating the mean monthly runoff, with the time base being the number of seconds in a month. The standard deviation, coefficient of variation (standard deviation divided by mean) and coefficient of skewness (a measure of how asymmetrical the probability distribution of a variable such as monthly yield is when compared to the symmetrical normal distribution) of the annual yield and monthly yield were also calculated.

Table 1 in Appendix II shows that the annual yield or monthly yield at stations within the same hydrologic region can be different from one another. There are two reasons for the variability in yield between hydrometric stations within the same hydrologic region. One reason is simply that, even though the hydrologic response within a hydrologic region is expected to be similar, slight differences in lengths of record, differences in mean elevations of gauged watersheds even within a hydrologic region, and differences in slopes and geology can lead to slight differences in yield. The other reason is that, even though a gauging station may be located in one hydrologic region, its watershed may extend into two or more hydrologic regions. The yield from a watershed at the gauging site will therefore depend on the proportion of the watershed within each hydrologic region, and this proportion may be different between stations within the same hydrologic region.

The annual yield for each hydrologic region was estimated as the average of the annual yields of gauged watersheds located completely within the hydrologic region, if available. If the gauged watersheds span two or more hydrologic regions, the annual yield estimate for the downstream hydrologic region was estimated from the annual yield of the upstream hydrologic region, the combined yield at the gauging site and the proportion of the watershed in the downstream and upstream hydrologic regions. The annual yield of the most upstream hydrologic region (HR-3) was estimated first because the gauged watersheds in this region will be fully contained within HR-3. The analysis then proceeded to the next downstream hydrologic region (HR-4), using the annual yield of HR-3 where appropriate, and so on for the other downstream hydrologic regions.

The monthly yield distribution was determined by first estimating the average percentage of the annual yield at each station within a hydrologic region, with priority given to stations wholly contained within the hydrologic region and to stations with winter flow records. The average monthly percentage was then used with the annual yield estimated for a hydrologic region to estimate a typical monthly yield for that region.

The coefficients of variation and skewness for the annual yield of a hydrologic region were used to estimate the $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}$ and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles on the basis that the annual yield series followed a log-normal distribution. The average monthly percentage derived previously was then used to distribute the annual yield across the twelve months of a year for each percentile.

The annual yield for each sub-basin as defined by NSWA was estimated from the annual yield of each hydrologic region that is included in the sub-basin and the proportion of each hydrologic region within the sub-basin. The annual yield calculations were done using effective drainage areas.

## 2. SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY

The objective of this component of the project was to characterize the average water yield condition as well as its spatial and temporal variability in the North Saskatchewan River Basin (NSRB) and its major sub-basins as defined by the NSWA.

The North Saskatchewan River (NSR) begins in the ice fields of Banff and Jasper National Parks and generally flows east toward the Alberta-Saskatchewan boundary. The total area of the NSRB from its headwaters in the glaciers of Jasper and Banff National Parks on the eastern slopes of the Rocky Mountains to the prairie landscape along the Alberta-Saskatchewan boundary is about $57,000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. Within Alberta, the Brazeau, Nordegg, Ram, Clearwater, Sturgeon and Vermilion rivers are the major tributaries to the NSR. The mean annual natural discharge of the NSR at the Alberta/Saskatchewan boundary is about 7,500,000 $\mathrm{dam}^{3}$. The NSRB is part of the larger Nelson River system, which eventually drains into Hudson Bay.

The following sections provide the results of the data analysis undertaken for the water yield assessment. The approach for the data analysis is described in Section 1.3.

### 2.1 Hydro-Climatic Characteristics of the Hydrologic Regions of the NSRB

The hydrologic regions (HR) for the NSRB are shown in Figure 1 in Appendix I. The physiographic and hydro-climatic characteristics of the HRs are described in the following paragraphs.

HR-3: Hydrologic Region HR-3 trends northwest to southeast along the eastern slopes of the Rocky Mountains. HR-3 is ecologically classified as generally Alpine in upland areas and as Subalpine along river valleys. Ground elevation in HR-3 generally exceeds 2000 m (amsl), with average ground slope derived from digital elevation maps generally exceeding $2 \%$.

HR-3 has the highest water yield in the NSRB. Mean annual temperature in this region is generally less than $-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at very high elevations and between 0 and $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at lower elevations in this region. Mean annual precipitation in this region ranges from 450 to 500
mm along sheltered valley areas to over 600 mm outside of the valleys. Mean annual rainfall is between 250 and 300 mm , while mean annual snowfall can range between 200 and 800 mm . Mean annual evapotranspiration is generally less than 325 mm because of the low year-round temperatures at the high elevations. The high precipitation and low evapotranspiration result in HR-3 having the highest local mean annual water yield for the NSRB and is of the order of 870 mm .

HR-4: Hydrologic Region HR-4 is adjacent to and east of HR-3 with elevations between 1,500 m and $2,500 \mathrm{~m}$ (amsl). Average ground slopes derived from digital elevation maps are generally between 1 and $2.5 \%$. HR-4 is ecologically classified as generally Subalpine, with Alpine areas at the higher elevations and Upper Foothills areas at the lower elevations.

HR-4 has the second highest water yield in the NSRB. Mean annual temperature in this region is generally between 0 and $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Mean annual precipitation in this region ranges from 450 to 500 mm along sheltered valley areas to over 600 mm outside of the valleys. Mean annual rainfall is between 300 and 400 mm , while mean annual snowfall can range between 150 and 200 mm , with smaller areas receiving up to 800 mm . Mean annual evapotranspiration is generally between 300 and 350 mm . The high precipitation and moderate evapotranspiration result in HR-4 having the second highest local mean annual water yield for the NSRB and is of the order of 250 mm .

HR-5: Hydrologic Region HR-5 is adjacent to and east of HR-4 with elevations between 1,000 m and $1,500 \mathrm{~m}$ (amsl). Average ground slopes derived from digital elevation maps are generally between 0.5 and $2 \%$. HR-5 is ecologically classified as mostly Lower Foothills, with small Upper Foothills areas at the higher elevations.

HR-5 has a moderate to high water yield in the NSRB. Mean annual temperature in this region is generally between 2 and $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Mean annual precipitation in this region is quite high, exceeding 600 mm on most areas. Mean annual rainfall is between 400 and 500 mm, while mean annual snowfall can range between 150 and 200 mm . Mean annual evapotranspiration is generally between 345 and 385 mm . The high precipitation and
moderate evapotranspiration result in HR-5 having a moderate to high local mean annual water yield for the NSRB and is of the order of 160 mm .

HR-10: Hydrologic Region HR-10 comprises only a small portion of the NSRB. HR-10 is in central Alberta and encompasses the Swan Hills area. Hydrologic Region HR-10 is ecologically classified as Lower Foothills. Ground elevation in HR-10 ranges from 800 to 1000 m (amsl). Average ground slope derived from digital elevation maps ranges from $0.25 \%$ to $1 \%$.

HR-10 is characterized by low to moderate water yield. Mean annual temperature in this region ranges from 3 to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Mean annual precipitation in this region ranges from 550 to 600 mm , of which mean annual rainfall is between 400 and 500 mm and mean annual snowfall is between 150 and 200 mm . Mean annual evapotranspiration generally ranges from 365 to 385 mm . More than $95 \%$ of the area of HR-10 contributes runoff to the NSR during years with average hydrologic conditions. The relatively moderate precipitation, moderate evapotranspiration and almost negligible percentage of non-contributing runoff areas result in HR-10 having a relatively low to moderate mean annual water yield of the order of 85 mm .

HR-8: Hydrologic Region HR-8 is located in central Alberta and encompasses primarily the plains region of the lower Athabasca River watershed. HR-8 also comprises the middle sections of the NSRB and the Red Deer River Basin. Hydrologic Region HR-8 has a range of ecological regions, from the Lower Foothills at higher elevations, Central Mixedwood in the central section of the region, to Dry Mixedwood in the eastern-most section. Ground elevation in HR-8 ranges from 600 to 1000 m (amsl). Average ground slope derived from digital elevation maps ranges from $0.25 \%$ to $1 \%$.

HR-8 is characterized by low to moderate water yield. Mean annual temperature in this region ranges from 2 to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Mean annual precipitation in this region ranges from 500 to 550 mm , of which mean annual rainfall is between 360 and 500 mm and mean annual snowfall is between 100 and 150 mm . Mean annual evapotranspiration generally ranges from 365 to 425 mm . About $95 \%$ of the area of HR-8 contributes runoff to the NSR during years with average hydrologic conditions. The relatively moderate precipitation,
high evapotranspiration and small percentage of non-contributing runoff areas result in HR-8 having a relatively low to moderate mean annual water yield of the order of 65 mm .

HR-2C: Hydrologic Region HR-2C is ecologically classified as mostly Central Parkland, with some Dry Mixedwood areas. Ground elevation in HR-1C ranges from 600 to 800 m (amsl). Average ground slope derived from digital elevation maps is mild and generally less than $0.25 \%$.

HR-2C is characterized by relatively low water yield. Mean annual temperature in this region ranges from 2 to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Mean annual precipitation in this region ranges from 450 to 500 mm , of which mean annual rainfall is between 300 and 400 mm and mean annual snowfall is between 100 and 125 mm . Mean annual evapotranspiration generally ranges from 365 to 425 mm . About $65 \%$ of the area of HR-2C contributes runoff to the NSR during years with average hydrologic conditions. The low precipitation, high evapotranspiration and moderately high percentage of non-contributing runoff areas result in HR-2C having a relatively low local mean annual water yield of the order of 35 mm.

HR-1C: Hydrologic Region HR-1C is the northern part of HR-1 that encompasses the prairies and lower portions of the South Saskatchewan River, Red Deer River, Battle River and North Saskatchewan River watersheds. The ecological classification of the lower section of HR-1C within the NSRB is Central Parkland, while the upper section is classified as Dry Mixedwood. Ground elevation in HR-1C ranges from 800 m (amsl) along the western edge of the region to about 400 m along the river valley near the AlbertaSaskatchewan boundary. Average ground slope derived from digital elevation maps is mild and generally less than $0.25 \%$.

HR-1C is characterized by very low water yield. Mean annual temperature ranges from 0 to $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the northern section of the region and from 2 to $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the central-western section of the region. Mean annual precipitation in this region ranges from 400 to 450 mm , of which mean annual rainfall is between 300 and 360 mm and mean annual snowfall is between 35 and 125 mm . Mean annual evapotranspiration generally exceeds

400 mm . Less than $50 \%$ of the area of HR-1C contributes runoff to the NSR during years with average hydrologic conditions. The low precipitation, high evapotranspiration and high percentage of non-contributing runoff areas result in HR-1C having a very low local mean annual water yield of the order of 25 mm .

### 2.2 Annual and Monthly Yield and Volumes from Hydrologic Regions

The upper portion of Table 2-1 in Appendix II shows the average annual and monthly yield estimates from each hydrologic region in the NSRB. Table 2-2 in Appendix II shows the same information as annual and monthly flow volumes in units of million cubic metres. Figure 3 in Appendix I shows a map of the mean annual runoff (annual yield) and mean annual volume from each hydrologic region. Hydrologic region HR-3 has the highest annual yield at 870 mm , while the hydrologic regions HR-2C and HR-1C have the lowest annual yields at 35 mm and 25 mm , respectively. These low yields are a reflection of the low precipitation, relatively higher temperature and higher evapotranspiration, and large non-contributing areas in the eastern half of the NSRB. Table 2-1 and Table 2-2 in Appendix II show that most of the water yield or volume in the NSRB is generated from the first two or three hydrologic regions of the NSRB.

The last column of Table 2-1 in Appendix II shows the cumulative annual yield, that is, the amount of runoff that shows up in the main stem of the NSR from the most upstream to the most downstream hydrologic region. The middle portion of Table 2-1 in Appendix II shows the cumulative monthly yield for each month. Table 2-2 in Appendix II provides the same information as cumulative annual and monthly flow volumes in units of million cubic metres.

The figure below Table 2-1 in Appendix II illustrates the monthly yield from each hydrologic region as a percentage of the annual yield. The figure also illustrates the monthly percentage of the cumulative yield in the NSR at the Alberta/Saskatchewan boundary. The figure in Table 2-1 demonstrates that the peak monthly yield from the hydrologic regions in the eastern half of the NSRB occurs in April as a result of snow melt as temperatures begin to increase in spring. In contrast, the peak monthly yield from the hydrologic regions in the western half of the NSRB, particularly those along the eastern slopes of the Rocky Mountains, occur in July because of the gradual rise in temperature during spring and early summer at these high elevations.

The peak monthly cumulative yield at the Alberta/Saskatchewan boundary occurs in July and seems to follow the pattern shown by the hydrologic regions in the western half of the NSRB. This is an expected result as the western hydrologic regions generate most of the yield in the NSRB. Table 2-2 in Appendix II shows that hydrologic region HR-3 contributes almost half ( 3,600 million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) of the annual cumulative yield of the NSRB at the provincial boundary (about 7,510 million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ). In fact, the portion of the NSRB downstream of Edmonton contributes less than 300 million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ of flow volume to the annual cumulative yield of the NSRB at the provincial boundary.

### 2.3 Annual Yield from Sub-Basins

Figure 1 in Appendix I shows the sub-basins of the NSRB as defined by the NSWA. Some of the sub-basins span more than one hydrologic region. For example, the Ram sub-basin spans hydrologic regions HR-3, HR-4, HR-5, HR-10 and HR-8. The results presented in Section 2.2 can be used to estimate the annual yield from each of these sub-basins individually. The proportion of each sub-basin that falls within the various hydrologic regions that it encompasses is first estimated. The annual yield from the sub-basin is then the area-weighted annual yield of all the hydrologic regions crossed by the sub-basin. Effective areas are used wherever the noncontributing areas are significant. The annual yields from each sub-basin are then cumulated to estimate the cumulative yield at the junction of two or more sub-basins, and further downstream until the Alberta/Saskatchewan boundary. Table 3 in Appendix II shows the results of the annual yield and volume analysis for the sub-basins. The cumulative annual yield or volume at the Alberta/Saskatchewan boundary is 179 mm or about 7,510 million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$, which is the same as the cumulative annual yield or volume shown in Table 2-1 and Table 2-2 in Appendix II.

The analysis discussed for the sub-basins can be used in the same manner for any watershed within the NSRB.

### 2.4 Variability in Annual and Monthly Yield from Hydrologic Regions

Table 1 in Appendix I provides the mean annual runoff for each hydrologic region as well as an estimate of the coefficient of variation (CV, which is the standard deviation of the annual yields divided by the mean annual yield) and the coefficient of skewness (CS, which is a measure of the
direction and magnitude of the asymmetry of a probability distribution). Table 1 shows that CV and CS tend to increase from hydrologic regions on the western portion of the NSRB to eastern hydrologic regions. CS tends to be positive, that is, the probability distribution of annual yield is skewed to the right. This pattern is a reflection of the relatively much larger variability expected in the hydrologic response of drier areas.

The coefficients of variation and skewness for the annual yield of a hydrologic region were used to estimate the $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}$ and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles on the basis that the annual yield series followed a log-normal distribution. The average monthly percentage derived previously was then used to distribute the annual volumes across the twelve months of a year for each percentile. Table 4 in Appendix II shows the average case (same as Table 2-2), $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}$ and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles of the annual volumes from each hydrologic region, the cumulative volumes along the NSR and the monthly volumes. Table 5 shows similar results for each sub-basin individually (annual and monthly volumes), and cumulatively (annual volumes).

Table 2-1 in Appendix II indicates that the cumulative annual yield at the Alberta/Saskatchewan boundary is 179 mm for average hydrologic conditions. The cumulative annual yield at the same location for the $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}$ and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile conditions are $122,142,205$ and 248 mm , respectively.

### 2.5 Validation of Yield Estimates

The cumulative annual yield estimates along the NSR that are provided in Table 5 are based on the area-weighted summation of yields from each contributing sub-basin within the hydrologic regions of the NSRB. Recorded flows on the NSR at WSC stations 05DF001 (North Saskatchewan River at Edmonton) and 05EF001 (North Saskatchewan River near Deer Creek) were used to validate the yield estimates.

Station 05DF001 is located close to the downstream outlet of the Strawberry sub-basin. The gross drainage area of the NSRB at 05DF001 is $28,100 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, and the effective drainage area is $27,100 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. The cumulative gross and effective drainage areas of the NRSB at the outlet of the Strawberry sub-basin are 28,040 and $27,040 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, respectively. The flow record at 05DF001 spans from 1911 to 2008, however, flows in the NSR became significantly regulated by power
projects and other activities from 1960 onwards. Therefore, the natural flow record for the NSR from 1911 to 1959 only was used for deriving mean annual yield and selected percentiles of the yield. The results indicate that the mean annual yield from the natural flow record at 05DF001 is 255 mm based on effective drainage area. This value compares well with the cumulative mean annual yield of 262 mm estimated at Strawberry. Similarly the $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}$ and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile annual yields estimated from the natural flow records at 05DF001 ( $195 \mathrm{~mm}, 207 \mathrm{~mm}, 299 \mathrm{~mm}$, 336 mm , respectively) compare well with the cumulative $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}$ and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile yields derived at Strawberry ( $191 \mathrm{~mm}, 219 \mathrm{~mm}, 306 \mathrm{~mm}, 360 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively, from yields of hydrologic regions comprising the NSRB.

Station 05EF001 is located close to Alberta-Saskatchewan boundary and near the downstream outlet of the Monnery sub-basin. The gross drainage area of the NSRB at 05EF001 is 56,818 $\mathrm{km}^{2}$, and the effective drainage area is $42,700 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. The cumulative gross and effective drainage areas of the NRSB at the outlet of the Monnery sub-basin are 56,860 and $41,960 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, respectively. The flow record at 05EF001 spans from 1917 to 2006, however, flows in the NSR became significantly regulated by power projects and other activities from 1960 onwards. In addition, only a few sporadic years of natural flow records are available prior to 1960. These were not deemed sufficient for estimate percentiles of annual yield at this station. Instead, the entire flow record was used to estimate the mean annual yield, on the assumption that the effects of regulation due to power projects will not be significant on the total annual flow volumes. The effects of consumptive uses are not known. Nevertheless, an approximate value of the mean annual yield at 05EF001 is estimated to be 173 mm , which again compares well with the cumulative mean annual yield of 179 mm (based on cumulated yield from sub-basins and yield from hydrologic regions) near Monnery at the Alberta-Saskatchewan boundary.

The results of an analysis of flow records on the NSR indicate that the approach and results of the water supply assessment for the NSRB as described above are valid. The validation also suggests that the assumption that the entire NRSB is experiencing similar hydrologic conditions, the assumption on which cumulative yield estimates for selected percentiles are derived, is valid to some degree. The rationale is that the relatively small headwater areas of the NSRB generate almost $90 \%$ of the mean annual flow volume and are expected to be similarly affected spatially by dry or wet hydrologic conditions. The relatively insignificant contribution of the areas east of

Edmonton to annual yield suggests that the flows in the NSR downstream of Edmonton will essentially reflect the upstream hydrologic conditions.

### 2.6 Summary

Natural flow records at gauges within the NSRB have been used to develop tables and maps of natural annual and monthly yields from hydrologic regions contributing runoff to the NSR under average hydrologic conditions. The year-to-year variability in annual yield has been characterized in terms of the coefficient of variation and coefficient of skewness of the annual yield series. The information can be used to estimate the monthly and annual yield from any portion of the NSRB and cumulative annual and monthly yield in the NSR for average hydrologic conditions and for hydrologic conditions that are drier or wetter than average conditions.
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# APPENDIX I <br> FIGURES - GIS MAPS PRODUCED FOR REPORT 

Figure $1 \quad$ Hydrologic Regions and Sub-Basins of the North Saskatchewan River Basin
Figure 2 Non-Contributing Areas in the North Saskatchewan River Basin
Figure 3 North Saskatchewan River Basin Mean Annual Runoff (mm) and Mean Annual Flow (million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) from Hydrologic Regions

Figure $4 \quad$ North Saskatchewan River Basin Cumulative Mean Annual Flow (million m³) from Hydrologic Regions

Figure 5 North Saskatchewan River Basin Mean Annual Runoff (mm) and Mean Annual Flow (million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) from Sub-Basins

Figure $6 \quad$ North Saskatchewan River Basin Cumulative Mean Annual Flow (million m³) from Sub-Basins







## APPENDIX II

## TABLES - HYDROMETRIC STATIONS, HYDROLOGIC REGIONS AND SUBBASINS, YIELD AND RUNOFF VOLUMES

Table 1 North Saskatchewan River Basin - Runoff Statistics at Hydrometric Stations and Hydrologic Regions - Average Case

Table 2-1 Annual and Monthly Yields from Hydrologic Regions in the NSRB - Average Case

Table 2-2 Annual and Monthly Volumes from Hydrologic Regions in the NSRB - Average Case

Table 3 Annual Yields and Volumes from Sub-Basins in the NSRB - Average Case
Table 4 Annual and Monthly Volumes from Hydrologic Regions in the NSRB - Average Case and Selected Percentiles

Table 5 Annual And Monthly Volumes from Sub-Basins in the NSRB - Average Case and Selected Percentiles



Table 2-1 Annual and Monthly Yields from Hydrologic Regions in the NSRB - Average Case

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Hydrologic } \\ \text { Region } \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative Effective Area <br> (km²) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effective Area } \\ & \left(\mathbf{k m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Gross Area (km²) | Statistic | Monthl Y Yield ( mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local Annual } \\ & \text { Yield (mm) } \end{aligned}$ | CumulativeAnnual Yield(mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apl | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |  |  |
| 3 | 4,110 | , 110 | 4,110 | \% of Annual Yield | ${ }^{1 \%}$ | ${ }^{1 \%}$ | 1\% | 1\% | ${ }^{7 \%}$ | 20\% | 27\% | 24\% | ${ }^{11 \%}$ | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Monthly Yield (mm) | 7.89 | ${ }^{6.51}$ | 7.09 | 11.6 | 63.1 | ${ }^{178}$ | ${ }^{237}$ | 204 | 92.8 | ${ }^{36.3}$ | ${ }^{15.0}$ | 10.4 | 870 | 870 |
| 4 | 11,440 | 7,330 | 7,330 | \% of A Anual Yeild | ${ }^{2 \%}$ | ${ }^{2 \%}$ | ${ }^{2 \%}$ | ${ }^{5 \%}$ | ${ }^{16 \%}$ | ${ }^{23 \%}$ | 20\% | ${ }^{12 \%}$ | ${ }^{9 \%}$ | ${ }^{5 \%}$ | ${ }^{3 \%}$ | ${ }^{2 \%}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Monthly Yeield ( (mm) | 4.98 | 4.98 | 4.98 | 12.4 | 39,8 | 56.6 | 40.6 | 30.4 | 21.6 | $\frac{12.2}{80}$ | ${ }^{7.46}$ | $\frac{4.98}{40}$ | 250 | 473 |
| 5 | 18,390 | 6,950 | 6,980 | \%\% of Anual Yield | 30\% 5.13 | 306\% 4.36 | ${ }_{5.82}^{4 \%}$ | ${ }^{711.8}$ | ${ }_{19 .}^{129}$ | ${ }_{24.1}^{150}$ | ${ }^{188 \%}$ | ${ }_{18.1}^{118 .}$ | ${ }_{10.2}^{100 \%}$ | 80, <br> 12.5 | ${ }_{8}^{5} 8$. | ${ }_{6.49}^{49}$ | 160 | 355 |
| 10 | 20,080 | 1,690 | 1,750 | \% of A Anual Y Yield | ${ }^{1 \%}$ | ${ }^{1 \%}$ | ${ }^{2 \%}$ | 11\% | 17\% | 19\% | 18\% | ${ }^{11 \%}$ | ${ }^{9 \%}$ | ${ }^{6 \%}$ | 3\% | ${ }^{2 \%}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Monthly Yield (mm) | 1.01 | 0.86 | 1.94 | 0.25 | 14.1 | 16.4 | 15.7 | ${ }^{9.04}$ | ${ }^{7} 76$ | 4.94 | 2.56 | 1.49 | 85 | 332 |
| ${ }^{8}$ | 26,30 | 6,250 | 6,110 | \%o of Anual yeld | ${ }_{1}^{20}$ | ${ }_{1.30}^{20}$ | ${ }_{5} 5$ | ${ }^{260 \%}$ | ${ }_{8.92}$ | ${ }_{7}^{129}$ | ${ }^{213.6}$ | ${ }_{3.94}^{69}$ | ${ }_{2}^{203}$ | ${ }_{1}^{20} 5$ | ${ }_{1.30}^{20}$ | $\stackrel{1}{0.63}$ | 65 | 269 |
| ${ }^{2 C}$ | 32,580 | 6,250 | 9,590 | \% of Annual Yeild | ${ }^{2 \%}$ | ${ }^{2 \%}$ | 15\% | ${ }^{32 \%}$ | 10\% | 15\% | 15\% | ${ }^{3 \%}$ | ${ }^{2 \%}$ | ${ }^{2 \%}$ | ${ }^{2 \%}$ | ${ }^{1 \%}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Monthl Y Yield (mm) | 0.53 | 0.53 | 5.13 | 11.3 | 3.60 | 5.13 | 5.26 | 1.20 | 0.76 | 0.70 | 0.53 | 0.35 | 35 | 224 |
| 1 C | 41,960 | 9,380 | 20,390 | \%o of Anual | 2\% 0.38 0 | ${ }^{29}{ }_{0}^{29}$ |  | 55\% 138 | ${ }_{3}^{12 \%}$ | 8\%9 | ${ }^{5 \%}$ | ${ }^{20}{ }^{20}$ | $\stackrel{2 \%}{20.5}$ | 2\% <br> 0.50 <br> 0 |  | ${ }_{0}^{19} 0$ | 25 | 179 |
| Total |  | 41.960 | 56,860 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 2－2 Annual and Monthly Volumes from Hydrologic Regions in the NSRB－Average Case


| $\mid$ | \％$\%$ \％ | $\mathscr{A} \underset{\sim}{x}$ | $\hat{A}$ | ｜o | ลั |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|\frac{3}{2}\right\|$ |  | 先 | 9 | － | ¢े |
| \|흉 | 夺枵 | $\mathfrak{i l n}$ |  | \％ | \％ |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \frac{2}{0} \\ 0 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | 중 | \％ | （ \％W | ¢ |
|  | \％ | Blof |  | Br | $\overbrace{1}$ |
|  | 吕第第 | $\mathfrak{b l i n}$ |  | Bo | ลั่ |
|  | crovid | $0$ |  |  |  |
| $\mid \overrightarrow{0}$ |  | $\mathfrak{b l}$ | 気苋苋 |  | \％ |
| $\left\|\frac{a}{4}\right\|$ |  | $\mathfrak{A l n}$ |  | $7{ }^{7}$ | \％ |
| $\left\|\frac{\bar{⿺}}{\frac{5}{2}}\right\|$ | $8$ | $8: 9$ | old | fox | 析 |
|  | $\underset{1}{3} \approx 0$ | $36$ | 见is |  |  |
| $\frac{5}{5}$ |  |  | $\circ$ | $\underset{A}{A} \underset{A}{2}$ | ลั |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | nolal |  | $\|0\| 0\|c\| c \mid$ |  |

NOTE：The numbers shown in the table have been rounded to 3 significant figures；hence，the numbers may not add up exactly as shown in the total columns or rows．
Table 3 Annual Yields from Sub-Basins in the NSRB - Average Case

| Sub-Basins of the NSR Basin | Gross Area of SubBasin (km²) | Effective Area ofSub-Basin $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | \% of NSRB |  | Annual Yield from Hydrological Regions Comprising the NSRB (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Yieldfrom Sub-Basin(mm) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Cumulative Yield } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annual Volume } \\ & \text { from Sub-Basins } \\ & \left(\mathrm{Mm}^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative AnnuaVolume ( $\mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | HR-3 | HR-4 | HR-5 | HR-10 | HR-8 | HR-2C | HR-1C |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,890 | 3,890 | 9\% |  | 870 | 250 | 160 | 85 | 65 | 35 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| CLINE |  |  |  | Eff A | 3,270 | 613 |  |  |  |  |  | 772 | 772 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
|  |  |  |  | \% in HR | 84\% | 16\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLEARWATER | 3,230 | 3,220 | 8\% | Eff A | 262 | 1,080 | 1,820 | 60 |  |  |  | 247 |  | 794 |  |
|  |  |  |  | \% in HR | 8\% | 33\% | 57\% | 2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BrAZEAU | 6,880 | 6,880 | 16\% | Eff A | 554 | 3,480 | 2,330 | 519 |  |  |  | 257 |  | 1,770 |  |
|  |  |  |  | \% in HR | 8\% | 51\% | 34\% | 8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAM | 6,210 | 6,140 | 15\% | Eff A | 21 | 2,160 | 2,800 | 933 | 221 |  |  | 179 | 331 | 1,100 | 6,670 |
|  |  |  |  | \% in HR | 0\% | 35\% | 46\% | 15\% | 4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MODESTE | 4,720 | 4,520 | 11\% | Eff A |  |  |  | 178 | 4,337 |  |  | 66 | 283 | 297 | 6,960 |
|  |  |  |  | \% in HR |  |  |  | 4\% | 96\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRAWBERY | 3,110 | 2,390 | 6\% | Eff A |  |  |  |  | 1,110 | 1,280 |  | 49 | 262 | 117 | 7,080 |
|  |  |  |  | \% in HR |  |  |  |  | 46\% | 54\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sturgeon | 3,320 | 2,430 | 6\% | Eff A |  |  |  |  | 582 | 1,850 |  | 42 |  | 103 |  |
|  |  |  |  | \% in HR |  |  |  |  | 24\% | 76\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEAVERHILL | 4,410 | 2,330 | 6\% | Eff A |  |  |  |  |  | 1,610 | 724 | 32 | 228 | 74 | 7,260 |
|  |  |  |  | \% in HR |  |  |  |  |  | 69\% | 31\% |  |  |  |  |
| WHITE EARTH | 6,520 | 4,390 | 10\% | Eff A |  |  |  |  |  | 1,510 | 2,880 | 28 | 204 | 125 | 7,380 |
|  |  |  |  | \% in HR |  |  |  |  |  | 34\% | 66\% |  |  |  |  |
| VERMILION | 7,860 | 2,360 | 6\% | Eff A |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2,360 | 25 |  | 59 |  |
|  |  |  |  | \% in HR |  |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| FROG | 5,460 | 3,070 | 7\% | Eff A |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,070 | 25 | 181 | 77 | 7,500 |
|  |  |  |  | \% in HR |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| MONNERY | 1,25056,860 | ${ }^{340}$ | 1\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EHAA } \\ & \% \text { in } \mathrm{HR} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 344 \\ & \hline 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 179 | 9 | 7,510 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 4 Annual and Monthly Volumes from Hydrologic Regions in the NSRB - Average Case and Selected Percentiles

| HydrologicRegion | CumulativeEffective Area$\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | Effective Area$\left(\mathbf{k m}^{2}\right)$ | Gross Area | Monthly Volume ( $\mathrm{Mm}^{\prime \prime}$ ) - Average Case |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Yield from Each Region ( mm ) | Average Case |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CumulativeAnnual Yield$(\mathrm{mm})$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cumulative } \\ \text { Annual Volume } \\ \left(\mathrm{Mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apl | May | Jun | Јul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |  |  |  |
| 3 | 4.110 | 4.110 | 4.110 | 32 | 27 | 29 | 48 | ${ }^{259}$ | 731 | 974 | 840 | 381 | 149 | 62 | ${ }^{43}$ | 870 | 870 | 3.600 | 3.600 |
|  | 11,40 | 7,330 | 7,330 | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }^{91}$ | ${ }^{292}$ | 415 | 364 | ${ }^{223}$ | ${ }^{158}$ | 90 | 55 | 36 | 250 | 473 | 1,800 | 5,400 |
| 5 | ${ }^{18,390}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6,950}$ | 6,980 | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 40 | ${ }^{82}$ | ${ }^{132}$ | 168 | 196 | ${ }^{126}$ | 112 | ${ }^{87}$ | ${ }_{58}^{58}$ | 45 | 160 | 355 | 1,100 | 6,500 |
| 10 | 20,080 | ${ }^{1,690}$ | ${ }^{1,750}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | - 50 | 26 <br> 85 <br> 8 | ${ }^{15}$ | $\frac{13}{13}$ | ${ }^{10}$ | ${ }_{8}^{4}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | ${ }^{85}$ | $\begin{array}{r}332 \\ \hline 29\end{array}$ | 144 | ¢,660 |
| 2 C | 32.580 | 6,250 | 9,590 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 32 | 71 | 23 | 32 | 33 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 224 | 219 | 7.300 |
| 1 C | ${ }_{4} 41,960$ | ${ }_{0}^{0,380}$ | ${ }_{20,390}$ | 4 | 4 | 16 | 130 | 29 | 19 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 25 | 179 | 235 | ${ }_{7} 7.510$ |
| Total |  | 41,960 | 56.860 | 121 | 110 | 193 | 541 | 814 | 1.440 | 1.690 | 1.240 | 687 | ${ }^{353}$ | 195 | 136 |  |  | 7.510 |  |



Table 5 Annual and Monthly Volumes from Sub-Basins in the NSRB - Average Case and Selected Percentiles

| Sub-Basins | Gross Area (km²) | Effective Area (km ${ }^{2}$ ) | Monthly Volume ( $\mathrm{Mm}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) - Average Case |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average Case |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Volume from Each SubBasin (Mm ${ }^{3}$ ) | Cumulative Annual Volume ( $\mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ ) |
|  |  |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apl | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |  |  |
| CLINE | 3,890 | 3,890 | 29 | 24 | 26 | 46 | 231 | 616 | 805 | 687 | 317 | 126 | 54 | 37 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| CLEARWATER | 3,230 | 3,220 | 17 | 15 | 18 | 38 | 94 | 151 | 167 | 119 | 77 | 46 | 27 | 20 | 794 |  |
| BRAZEAU | 6,880 | 6,880 | 34 | 31 | 36 | 82 | 225 | 360 | 377 | 265 | 168 | 94 | 55 | 39 | 1,770 |  |
| RAM | 6,210 | 6,140 | 26 | 24 | 30 | 73 | 154 | 207 | 204 | 126 | 100 | 67 | 42 | 31 | 1,100 | 6,670 |
| MODESTE | 4,720 | 4,520 | 6 | 6 | 25 | 74 | 41 | 38 | 62 | 19 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 297 | 6,960 |
| STRAWBERY | 3,110 | 2,390 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 33 | 14 | 15 | 22 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 117 | 7,080 |
| STURGEON | 3,320 | 2,430 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 31 | 12 | 14 | 18 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 103 |  |
| BEAVERHILL | 4,410 | 2,330 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 28 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 74 | 7,260 |
| WHITE EARTH | 6,520 | 4,390 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 57 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 125 | 7,380 |
| VERMILION | 7,860 | 2,360 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 33 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 59 |  |
| FROG | 5,460 | 3,070 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 42 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 77 | 7,500 |
| MONNERY | 1,250 | 340 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 7,510 |
| Total | 56,860 | 41,960 | 121 | 110 | 193 | 541 | 813 | 1,440 | 1,680 | 1,240 | 684 | 352 | 194 | 136 | 7,510 |  |


| Sub-Basins | Gross Area (km²) | Effective Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Monthly Volume ( $\mathrm{Mm}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) - 10th Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Volume from Each SubBasin (Mm ${ }^{3}$ ) | Cumulative Annual Volume ( $\mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ ) |
|  |  |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apl | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |  |  |
| CLINE | 3,890 | 3,890 | 24 | 20 | 22 | 37 | 191 | 519 | 682 | 584 | 268 | 106 | 45 | 31 | 2,530 | 2,530 |
| CLEARWATER | 3,230 | 3,220 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 23 | 59 | 100 | 113 | 83 | 51 | 29 | 17 | 12 | 516 |  |
| BRAZEAU | 6,880 | 6,880 | 21 | 19 | 22 | 49 | 142 | 238 | 256 | 186 | 112 | 60 | 34 | 24 | 1,160 |  |
| RAM | 6,210 | 6,140 | 15 | 14 | 17 | 41 | 89 | 119 | 117 | 72 | 57 | 38 | 24 | 17 | 620 | 4,830 |
| MODESTE | 4,720 | 4,520 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 17 | 16 | 26 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 123 | 4,950 |
| STRAWBERY | 3,110 | 2,390 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 4,990 |
| STURGEON | 3,320 | 2,430 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 34 |  |
| BEAVERHILL | 4,410 | 2,330 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5,060 |
| WHITE EARTH | 6,520 | 4,390 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 5,090 |
| VERMILION | 7,860 | 2,360 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |  |
| FROG | 5,460 | 3,070 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5,110 |
| MONNERY | 1,250 | 340 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5,110 |
| Total | 56,860 | 41,960 | 75 | 67 | 98 | 238 | 517 | 1,010 | 1,220 | 939 | 495 | 239 | 125 | 87 | 5,110 |  |


| Sub-Basins | Gross Area ( $\mathbf{k m}^{2}$ ) | Effective Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Monthly Volume ( $\mathrm{Mm}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) - 25th Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Volume from Each SubBasin ( $\mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ ) | Cumulative Annual Volume ( $\mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ ) |
|  |  |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apl | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |  |  |
| CLINE | 3,890 | 3,890 | 26 | 22 | 24 | 41 | 208 | 560 | 735 | 628 | 288 | 115 | 49 | 34 | 2,730 | 2,730 |
| CLEARWATER | 3,230 | 3,220 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 28 | 71 | 119 | 132 | 96 | 60 | 35 | 20 | 15 | 613 |  |
| BRAZEAU | 6,880 | 6,880 | 26 | 24 | 27 | 61 | 171 | 282 | 300 | 215 | 132 | 72 | 41 | 29 | 1,380 |  |
| RAM | 6,210 | 6,140 | 19 | 17 | 22 | 51 | 111 | 150 | 147 | 91 | 72 | 48 | 30 | 22 | 780 | 5,500 |
| MODESTE | 4,720 | 4,520 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 43 | 24 | 22 | 36 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 173 | 5,670 |
| STRAWBERY | 3,110 | 2,390 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 17 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 62 | 5,740 |
| STURGEON | 3,320 | 2,430 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 52 |  |
| BEAVERHILL | 4,410 | 2,330 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 5,830 |
| WHITE EARTH | 6,520 | 4,390 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 22 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 5,880 |
| VERMILION | 7,860 | 2,360 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |  |
| FROG | 5,460 | 3,070 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 5,930 |
| MONNERY | 1,250 | 340 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5,930 |
| Total | 56,860 | 41,960 | 90 | 81 | 125 | 318 | 616 | 1,160 | 1,380 | 1,050 | 564 | 279 | 148 | 104 | 5,930 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub-Basins | Gross Area (km²) | Effective Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Monthly Volume ( $\mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ ) - 75th Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Volume from Each SubBasin ( $\mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ ) | Cumulative Annual Volume ( $\mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ ) |
|  |  |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apl | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |  |  |
| CLINE | 3,890 | 3,890 | 31 | 27 | 29 | 50 | 251 | 666 | 869 | 740 | 342 | 136 | 58 | 40 | 3,240 | 3,240 |
| CLEARWATER | 3,230 | 3,220 | 20 | 18 | 21 | 46 | 111 | 176 | 192 | 136 | 90 | 54 | 32 | 24 | 919 |  |
| BRAZEAU | 6,880 | 6,880 | 40 | 37 | 42 | 97 | 265 | 419 | 435 | 304 | 195 | 110 | 65 | 46 | 2,060 |  |
| RAM | 6,210 | 6,140 | 32 | 29 | 37 | 87 | 185 | 248 | 245 | 151 | 120 | 80 | 51 | 37 | 1,300 | 7,520 |
| MODESTE | 4,720 | 4,520 | 7 | 7 | 32 | 93 | 51 | 47 | 77 | 23 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 371 | 7,890 |
| STRAWBERY | 3,110 | 2,390 | 3 | 3 | 16 | 41 | 18 | 19 | 27 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 146 | 8,030 |
| STURGEON | 3,320 | 2,430 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 38 | 15 | 18 | 22 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 128 |  |
| BEAVERHILL | 4,410 | 2,330 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 35 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 93 | 8,270 |
| WHITE EARTH | 6,520 | 4,390 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 70 | 18 | 17 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 154 | 8,420 |
| VERMILION | 7,860 | 2,360 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 40 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 72 |  |
| FROG | 5,460 | 3,070 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 52 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 94 | 8,590 |
| MONNERY | 1,250 | 340 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\square$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 8,600 |
| Total | 56,860 | 41,960 | 142 | 129 | 232 | 655 | 946 | 1,640 | 1,900 | 1,380 | 774 | 405 | 226 | 158 | 8,600 |  |


| Sub-Basins | Gross Area (km²) | Effective Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Monthly Volume ( $\mathbf{M m}^{\mathbf{3}}$ ) - 90th Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Volume from Each SubBasin (Mm ${ }^{3}$ ) | $\qquad$ Annual Volume ( $\mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ ) |
|  |  |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apl | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |  |  |
| CLINE | 3,890 | 3,890 | 34 | 29 | 31 | 55 | 274 | 721 | 938 | 798 | 369 | 148 | 63 | 44 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| CLEARWATER | 3,230 | 3,220 | 25 | 22 | 27 | 57 | 136 | 211 | 230 | 161 | 108 | 66 | 40 | 29 | 1,110 |  |
| BRAZEAU | 6,880 | 6,880 | 50 | 46 | 52 | 121 | 324 | 503 | 519 | 358 | 234 | 134 | 79 | 56 | 2,480 |  |
| RAM | 6,210 | 6,140 | 40 | 37 | 46 | 111 | 233 | 311 | 309 | 190 | 151 | 101 | 64 | 47 | 1,640 | 8,730 |
| MODESTE | 4,720 | 4,520 | 10 | 10 | 45 | 131 | 72 | 66 | 109 | 33 | 18 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 523 | 9,260 |
| STRAWBERY | 3,110 | 2,390 | 4 | 4 | 24 | 61 | 26 | 29 | 40 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 215 | 9,470 |
| STURGEON | 3,320 | 2,430 | 3 | 3 | 25 | 58 | 22 | 27 | 33 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 194 |  |
| BEAVERHILL | 4,410 | 2,330 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 57 | 16 | 19 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 149 | 9,840 |
| WHITE EARTH | 6,520 | 4,390 | 4 | 4 | 26 | 118 | 30 | 27 | 23 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 257 | 10,100 |
| VERMILION | 7,860 | 2,360 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 70 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 126 |  |
| FROG | 5,460 | 3,070 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 90 | 20 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 163 | 10,400 |
| MONNERY | 1,250 | 340 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 10,400 |
| Total | 56,860 | 41,960 | 177 | 162 | 315 | 940 | 1,170 | 1,940 | 2,230 | 1,580 | 905 | 486 | 278 | 194 | 10,400 |  |

NOTE: The numbers shown in the table have been rounded to 3 significant figures; hence, the numbers may not add up exactly as shown in the total columns or rows.


[^0]:    $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Eff A: } & \quad \text { Effective Area of Sub-Basin within each Hydrologic Region (HR) in } \mathrm{km}^{2} \text {; } \\ \text { \% in HR: } \\ \text { Percentage of Effective Sub-Basin Area in each HR }\end{array}$

